

To: Dan Wilson/NY/UNO
From: Peter Gallo/NY/UNO@UNHQ,
Date: 29/10/2014 10:30:05 GMT-4
Subject: Re: Delays in issuance of report 0496/11

Dear Dan,

I note that your immediate response is to state that you have do not intend to reply.

Having asked for a reply by Thursday, I am honour bound to wait but until Friday before sharing the acerbic fluency of my prose with anyone else, but I am glad that my sarcasm is much more important than the underlying facts here, (point 1 at the bottom of page 6 refers) and that this is yet another indicator of previous issues you have had to discuss with me in the past. Sadly, those issues have never involved answering any question that I wanted answered.

And that, ladies & gentlemen, is the OIOS dilemma in a nutshell.....

Be that as it may, I intend to sit quietly and wait patiently until Friday.

Having established that you do not like my sarcasm, and I know that you don't like my sarcasm, even if I consider it well deserved, please allow me to break with tradition and reiterate in a more poetic form:

Till Thursday evening I shall wait
When doubtless I shall learn the fate
If a simple answer can be told
Of why the report was so damn old.
And why would Field Support suggest
The thing that Dzuro did protest?
When I said the Jordanians should know
The answer was quite clear, and "No"
The very thought they be informed
Was a suggestion soundly scorned.
Ignoring the facts I had in hand,
T'was said I did not understand
Just what was this office role;
And that I failed to comprehend my goal.
But now an ASG agrees
With Peter's earlier pleas,
I fear this means what it implies:
And that we must emphasise
that both an ASG and I are thick
for wanting villains to be nick'd.
Thursday evening if you please,
In my mailbox you could squeeze
The answer, as I have already prayed:
Of why this case was so delayed?
And to what lengths do we resort
To find a fault with my report?
For despite the widespread blindness
I still apply the Standard Duck Test:
With retaliatory smells and looks

One need not refer to books.
We have the freedom to opine;
And you may disagree with mine
But this nonsense wastes a lot of money
And I don't think that's very funny.

For the avoidance of doubt, I am copying the commendable Mr Dzuro on this, not because it is "antagonistic" but because of something called "accountability".

I believe in accountability. The UN even claims that accountability is a 'Core Competency' but I have never actually seen it applied. *And that is another interesting little point....*

Dzuro should be copied on this email because:

- 1) He is the one who could not answer the email of 14 January 2013.
- 2) He is the one responsible what was written on pages 6 & 7 of my Annual Appraisal on the subject of my handling of 0496/11, and
- 3) He is the one who will have to have an answer, to you, by 5:59pm on Thursday.

Should you wish to call that "antagonistic", please feel free. Alternatively, somebody could always deflect attention away from the question about 496/11 by making a complaint about my asking questions about it. After all; the requirement NOT to ask questions was one of the requirements in the PIP that was somehow exempt from the 'Core Competency' of accountability.

And that is EXACTLY what "Ethical Dan" did!

You may have noticed that life has been a bit dull since I had to respond so publicly to the USG's decision to have me investigated for directing a piece of minor satire at the unimpeachable Michael Dudley. Sometimes I wonder whatever happened that caused all those complaints to come to such a sudden halt. Isn't that a little mystery?

In any case; please - pretty please – can I have an answer by Thursday.

Thank you

P

Peter Gallo LL.B, Dip.LP (Abdn) ,MBA,(Strath) LLM (Torino) CFE (Lapsed), CAMS,
(Lapsed). Solicitor (Scotland & Hong Kong) Attorney (New York)

*This should be a CLUE; this is somebody who is sick and tired of all the sh*t he has had to deal with.....*

Investigator
Investigations Division
Office of Internal Oversight Services
Ph: 1-917-367-4265