



To: Peter Gallo/NY/UNO@UNHQ,
Cc: Victoria Le Crichia-wenzel/NY/UNO@UNHQ,
Bcc:
Subject: Comments about Roberta Baldini
From: Carman Louise Lapointe/NY/UNO - Monday 07/10/2013 09:59 AM

Carman Louise Lapointe *Confidential: Comments about Roberta Baldini

Dear Peter:

Ms. Baldini has made the following remark in a memo to Dan Wilson dated 17 August:

In addition, last week I passed Peter going into Mia's cubicle and told me. I did not hear clearly, but was told later. I just let you know of Peter's unprofessional and hostile behaviour.

Peter, in order to assess this situation, what did you actually say, if anything, on your way into Mia's cubicle of Peter's hostile behaviour.

Your response to this question, without discussing this with anyone, would be appreciated as quickly as possible.

Many thanks,
 Carman



CARMAN L. LAPOINTE
 Under-Secretary-General for the Internal Oversight Services
 United Nations
 Room S-0908
 New York, NY 10017
 Tel: 1-212-963-6196/97 Fax: 1-212-963-7010
 Email: LapointeOIOS1@un.org

→ **"Assess"????** Lapointe had had this on her desk for ALMOST TWO MONTHS already!

She had already expressed the (prejudicial) view that my memos were "insubordination" - of course totally ignoring the underlying facts, as usual!

Baldini had been demanding it be treated as a misconduct complaint, relying largely on the hearsay of what Mia is alleged to have said, but which she denied saying when Dan asked her....

The Executive Office had been involved, PPS had been involved, OHRM had been consulted and ALS were being mentioned; and still Baldini was not satisfied.

Dan Wilson is tearing his hair out, noting that whatever he does, he will be criticised by Dudley and Baldini - who are clearly just bursting a blood vessel to find some excuse to hang me

I knew nothing of this, and Lapointe was NOW telling me that she would like to have my comments in order to "assess this situation"...

At what point was anyone going to ask about bias, prejudice, reasonableness, RETALIATION or whether this complaint was made in good faith - because I still don't see how anyone can reasonably complain that I was "sabotaging cases" if there WAS NO CASE TO BEGIN WITH....